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Ground Realities & Task at Hand

- We are all aware that several cultural traditions vanish every year.
- They leave behind only some traits of their once vibrant social life.
- We have also heard a number of scholars, politicians, newsmakers and ground-level administrators raising a lot of hue and cry over their death.
- This is considered a grave ‘danger’ literally [Cf. The MacMillan Dictionary] because a particular region or a society or community faces “a situation in which harm, death, damage or destruction is possible.”
- Zepeda and Hill, 1991 had lamented saying that... “The loss of the hundreds of languages that have already passed into history is an intellectual catastrophe in every way comparable in magnitude to the ecological catastrophe we face today”.
- Chistopher Moosely, 2007 says: “Language has always been a powerful weapon in the subjugation of peoples and nations. Empires have come and gone by the sword, but their true staying power, their lasting influence over many generations, long after the trappings of government and formal administration have disappeared, lies in the power of language.” [Encyclopedia of the World’s Endangered Languages]
- Many think ‘Language Attrition’ is inevitable, given man’s weakness for a more fashionable life – full of economic opportunities which their mother-tongues somehow fail to achieve for them. But we all here agree that it would be a great loss for humanity if they were to allow to disappear.
- So what is it that we can do?
- The CFEL Project of the UGC is an attempt to answer this question.
There are a large number of smaller linguistic groups in India, and all of them need at least the following:

- Grammars for documentation, including Social grammars for registers & contexts
- Primers & Language games
- Graded teaching/learning materials to participate in elementary education
- Writing Systems reflecting their phonetics
- Literacy books for adult learners
- Dictionaries (general purpose)
- Thesauri or WordNet linking up synonymy
- Specialized Glossary for domains & knowledge translation
- Cultural & visual documentation
- Style Manuals
- Encouragements for literary activities

Includes both endangered and potentially endangered
- 146 speech varieties used in radio network now, although before 1939 it used only English, Hindustani and Bangla after which Telugu, Tamil, Marathi and Gujarati were added
- 47+ languages used in schools (7th Edu Survey, NCERT, 2006)
- 3954 newspapers in 35 languages as in 1971 (It doubled in ‘03, with Hindi (2507), Urdu (534), English (407), Marathi & Tamil (395 each).
- 58 languages with dwindling number of speakers;
- Highest literary prizes are awarded in 24 languages
- 96% speak only 20-odd IA & Dravidian languages
- 14 major writing systems in use but 66 scripts in all.
Many sociolinguists (Pandit 1976; Srivastava 1976) had claimed that compared to others, for South Asian immigrants, language retention was more natural than language loss.

But in reality, it is seen that the 2nd/3rd generation migrants adopt other tongues/regional languages & are assimilated.

Yet nobody likes the loss of their language & cultural identity.

If we look at endangered languages map (cf. Singh 2011), we understand that perhaps certain cluster of pockets arise in the country, and a set of consortia might be best suited to tackle the task, although this is only based on UNESCO Atlas of Endangered Languages.

Notice that we are yet to make independent assessment of language endangerment, and take a call. So, what is needed is a programmed action – and not just resources.

But for that, a common methodology, questionnaire, tools for data gathering, format and archiving, correlating them with GIS database, and a training programme for field work and data handling is required, for which we have accepted the challenge National Resource Centre for this project.

Let us look at the snapshots of endangerment in India and elsewhere:
Plotting the Endangered languages in India – AS PER UNESCO ATLAS

Map of India

Plotting the Endangered languages in India
Two-fold Objectives:

- There are two issues of importance here:
- The first one is of theoretical importance and also a challenge for all practitioners of language management, namely, how could we develop smaller languages and their culture in a diverse space - a space where number and economic development seem to be intertwined & important?
- Secondly, given the profile of such smaller and lesser-known (and often, least cared for) languages and culture of India, can language technology help in identifying them, changing their status and plight?
NEWS ITEM: Professor uses Language Technology to preserve tribal languages

http://uanews.org/cgi-bin/WebObjects/UANews.woa/wa/MainStoryDetails?ArticleID=9400

- On July 6, 2004, an internet news item popped up in Google:
  - Prof Susan Penfield with CRIT (Colorado River Indian Tribe) and UofA support, and with funding from Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation, worked to preserve two Amerindian languages.
  - She created and trained tribal members of Mohave and Chemehyevi in the use of software & internet tools that would support preservation & instruction in these languages.
  - For many smaller languages, such timely steps are important as Mohave now has 33 fully fluent speakers & they are of plus 70 age-group, and Chemehyevi has 10 speakers of 60 plus age.
  - If we could train members of smaller/tribal communities in joining arms uprising, it should be easier to train them to preserve their culture.

The moral: Lang. technology could be used for threatened languages.
Whenever questions on smaller linguistic groups are raised, I’ve heard South Asianists raise this question, almost with a vengeance – “Are they dialects or languages?” – meaning – if they are dialects, why worry about them? If you persist, the next predictable question would be: Do they have a script? Even if we get past this question, the next one is: Are they taught in schools?

They look like ever shifting stand of the ‘Higgle Piggle Di’ (Sukumar Ray: ‘Ha-ja-ba-ra-la’ where – when confronted, a cat changes its name all the time).

All these issues must be buried now, and one should move ahead. We need a solid theoretical foundation for doing that & we will provide, just as a book on this effort or the viewpoint “from below” – as it were – will also be published.

To start with, a series of methodology workshops would be needed, which should also produce a set of handbooks and field manuals. While the existing texts like Bernard Comrie & Norval Smith’s *Lingua Descriptive Studies: Questionnaire* (North-Holland, 1977) or Anvita Abbi’s Lincon Europa Book - *A Manual of Linguistic Field Work and Indian Language Structures* (2001) are good texts to begin with, they were not created to correlate linguistic data with language atlases.

What we also need to do is to identify potential leaders from among these communities, train them & involve them in development.

But most importantly, we need a secured Geo-tagged GIS-based Data Collection method with documented data upload on a common format along with data cleaning, transcribing, tagging and corpus-building ready for grammatical analysis and lexicon-building.
Required – Documentation and Planned Interventions

- Through UGC’s Centers of Endangered Languages, we need to determine the real and potentially endangerment of languages.
- For a planned intervention to alter the scenario – what is badly needed is a GIS-based as well as statistics based linguistic mapping of the total scenario – an activity that had begun at CIIL after the 1961 Census but which sadly did not progress much beyond its Literacy Atlas projects.
- Although the Mother-Tongue Survey of the Census Language Division has covered some states now, they have not correlated Language and Space themselves but based their format and methodology only on Grierson’s century-old methodology of word-list and sentence list.

The modern-day technology of Linguistic Geography based on GIS activity undertaken by the MHA for its Census on the web operation should be suitably modified and used here. The exercise assumes greater importance because language development is related also to the socio-economic development of the ‘marginalized’ speech groups.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STATES</th>
<th>Major lang.</th>
<th>Minor 1 (%)</th>
<th>Minor 2 (%)</th>
<th>Others</th>
<th>Labels</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. Kerala</td>
<td>96.6</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>Malayalam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Punjab</td>
<td>92.2</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>Punjabi (Hindi, Urdu)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gujarat</td>
<td>91.5</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>Gujarati (Hindi, Sindhi)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haryana</td>
<td>91.0</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>Hindi (Punjabi, Urdu)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.P.</td>
<td>90.1</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>Hindi (Urdu, Punjabi)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rajasthan</td>
<td>89.6</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>Hindi (Bhili, Urdu)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H.P.</td>
<td>88.9</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>Hindi (Punjabi, Kinnauri)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tamil Nadu</td>
<td>86.7</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>Tamil (Telugu, Kann.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Bengal</td>
<td>86.0</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>Bengali (Hindi, Urdu)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.P.</td>
<td>84.8</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>Telugu (Urdu, Hindi)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M.P.</td>
<td>85.6</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>Hindi (Bhili, Gondi)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bihar</td>
<td>80.9</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>Hindi (Urdu, Santali)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orissa</td>
<td>82.8</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>Oriya (Hindi, Telugu)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mizoram</td>
<td>75.1</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>Lushai (Beng, Lakher)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maharashtra</td>
<td>73.3</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>Marathi (Hindi, Urdu)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Goa</td>
<td>51.5</td>
<td>33.4</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>Konkani (Marathi, Kan)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meghalaya</td>
<td>49.5</td>
<td>30.9</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>Khasi (Garo, Bengali)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tripura</td>
<td>68.9</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>Bengali (Tripuri, Hindi)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karnataka</td>
<td>66.2</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>Kannada (Urdu, Telugu)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. Sikkim</td>
<td>63.1</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>Nepali (Bhotia, Lepcha)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manipur</td>
<td>60.4</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>29.6</td>
<td>Manipuri (Thadou, Tangkhul)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assam</td>
<td>57.8</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>25.6</td>
<td>Assamese (Beng, Boro)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. Arunachal</td>
<td>19.9</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>62.5</td>
<td>Nissi (Nepali, Bengali)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nagaland</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>52.0</td>
<td>Ao (Sema, Konyak)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Let's consider figures based on ASI’s People of India & other surveys.
• Even when we look beyond 1951, based on other reports and studies – such as the People of India volumes of the Anthropological Survey of India (ASI), we find only very broad parameters; namely, that the number of speakers of minority languages vary from state to state; e.g. in Tripura, over 31% speak minority languages, but in Kerala the figure is only 3.4%, etc. Or, Nagaland and Arunachal Pradesh do not have a majority languages as such (the biggest groups being 14.4% and 19.9%, respectively).

• 7 states - Kerala, Punjab, Gujarat, Haryana, A.P., U.P., H.P., Rajasthan, TN & WB have negligible minor speech groups, with 85% speaking a major language. However, considering India’s population size, even 15% is a huge number. Are these communities safe or are they threatened?

• Further, we know that many minor language groups usually figures elsewhere as a major language but we do not have their details or there are no ways to monitor them.

• What is badly needed is a step to map our bilingualism and distribution of languages and speech varieties based on Census 2001 & 2011 reports extensively through Linguistic landscaping work– in clusters like Coastal languages, Central Tribal languages, Western Himalayan tongues, North-eastern languages etc.
Besides Linguistic Cartography, Where and how to use LT? Some tips

- Creation of school texts, using ‘shell-book’ method: Papua New Guinea
- Generation of a computational orthography that does justice to the phonetic/phonological nature of the given language, with UNICODE link.
- Building up of large and annotated corpora with BIS tagging tools
- Appropriate visual and audio documentation.
- Setting up of techniques of glossary formation based on such data, and automatic up-dation of the Lexical Resource when more data are added.
- Linking it up parallel lexicon of Hindi/English.
- Creation of Pictorial glossaries and addition of Cultural material.
- Building a bridge material with Web/CD-based or Radio/TV courses.
- **Pilot Studies**, including digital and photo documentation of a few states could be a way to begin.
- A model National Archive could be created in the following manner.
What Would the National Coordinating Centre do?

- Visva-Bharati would set up of a designated server space (also on a Cloud server) for CFEL Project Consortia with a database architecture based on appropriate Database environment and GIS Software to receive Geo-tagged Data & information from remote locations wherever each university group would work.

- A Detailed mapping tribal languages & Mother-tongues (State-wise & District-wise, 2001) could be made available to all other Partners for a small cost. Mapping tribal languages & MTs district-wise would be done and upgraded once 2011 Census data is released.

- Designing correlatable (with socio-economic data) database with GIS Interface will be taken up.

- Preparing village level language atlas for the State of West Bengal could be used as a model for initial assessment of endangerment (Cost for each additional state to be met from other universities’ budgets)

- Development of customized application for getting information from the villages in these states will be taken up.

- All Nine user universities (and not limited number of users) associated with the project would have secured access through Visva-Bharati portal to the huge databases that would get created under this UGC project. See the following for the basic structure:

  - http://censusindia.gov.in/maps/
Further Tasks

• Help developing a geo-referenced map of each district of the focused states to capture the location where data enumerators would be sent
• Developing web interfaces for transcription of initial data sent through mobile telephony-server system
• Preparing spatial database of location vis-à-vis language based on the data sent from field, and linking the above data with spatial database
• Listing of villages (having significant ST population as per 2011) to be visited by enumerators in search of language endangerment in focused states
• Identification and help in procuring GPRS-enabled telephonic instruments and recording cards for data collection
• Training of enumerators in mobile telephony data capturing and data uploading from remote location. The geo-tagged data through mobile telephony (pre-loaded with specific application) will be transferred to the server(s) where a geo-referenced base map will receive the data.
• Help locating transcribers through crowdsourcing (cf: Jeff Howe in Wired 2006), and help in training of data transcribers in transcribing descriptive & language data, or in enhancing their skills
• Help/advise in training of informants.
• Training of master trainers and Technical staff in utilizing the system.
How Will It Work?

At State level, distribution of different tribes in the districts of the state (which tribe in which district, how many?) would be of immense help (e.g. Say, ‘Munda’ tribe in West Bengal, how many in which district? Similarly, for all other tribes, there will be a customised GIS for planners. This tool will show the denominator for a tribe in a district.)

[Map showing distribution of tribes in districts with data points]

[Tribal Details
Munda
- <= 25000
- > 25000 & <= 50000
- > 50000]

CEFL-GIS: At the Planning Stage

- During planning stage, for each district, the system will provide a district map showing all villages & number of tribal population in each.
- This system is for deployment and guiding the field enumerators who will visit every revenue village with tribal population and send information on ‘population size of each tribe per village’ (first stage).
- The enumerator will upload geo-tagged data thru’ mobile telephony to the server where a geo-referenced base map will receive the data, and generate maps.
- May take a enumerator abt 12 to 18 months to complete a district.
- During this time, identification of potential Informants will be done by the Initial Team to facilitate later for field visit by researchers.
- There may be districts where more than one enumerator will be required. On the other hand one enumerator may cover two districts where villages to be visited are less in number.
- The GIS system with each district under focus will be portrayed showing all revenue villages and STs in each.
- The system will act as receiver of the field geo-tagged voice file. These voice files will be transcribed for initial info and ported in RDBMS of the system.
- Training costs and schedules for each state enumerators will have to be worked out.
At Visva-Bharati, we would concentrate on the Bottom-Left tasks in red colour and create/house a Data and Bibliographical archive format as well as training of survey man-power and the UGC’s Archive will be enriched by other consortia that will concentrate on Survey and Analysis.
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